




Mike Kadas
Director

Montana Department of Revenue



Steve Bullock
Governor

MEMORANDUM

TO: Revenue and Transportation Interim Committee
FROM: Dan Whyte, Chief Legal Counsel 
DATE: September 25, 2015
SUBJECT: Department of Revenue Major Case Update

MONTANA SUPREME COURT

Priceline, et al. (On-Line Travel Companies): The Montana Supreme Court ruled that based upon the plain language of the Lodging Facility Use Tax, the online travel companies are not "owners" or "operators", as defined in statute, and therefore not required to collect and remit the lodging tax on their fees. The lodging tax is 4%. However, the Court ruled that the online travel companies were, and are, required to collect and remit the 3% Sales Tax on their fees when selling, renting, or leasing accommodations and campgrounds. The Court further ruled that the online travel companies were also required to collect and remit a 4% Sales Tax on their fees related to the rental of vehicles. In ruling on damages owed by the companies, the Court rejected the online travel companies' argument that damages should be prospective, but limited the online travel companies' liability for the taxes from the filing of the Complaint, November 8, 2010. On August 28, 2015, the Supreme Court remitted the case back to Judge Seeley in the First Judicial District Court for consideration of the damages claims. The remaining issues to be determined are a calculation of taxes, penalties, and interest, and tort claims such as unjust enrichment, constructive trust, and conversion.

FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT

LL, Liquor, Inc, v. State of Montana, et al.: During the 2015 Legislative Session, the Legislature passed Senate Bill No. 193, which changed the complicated three piece commission rates received by the agency liquor stores to a single percentage rate based on sales. The commission rate percentages ranges from 12.15% commission for stores that purchase more than \$7,000,000 worth of liquor to 16% for stores that purchase no more than \$250,000 worth of product. It has been reported that this amendment to the

commission rates will result in a revenue increase for 90 of the 96 agency liquor stores. One of the remaining six stores, LL Liquor, located in Lolo, Montana, has sued the state arguing that the state breached the contract with LL Liquor and deprives LL Liquor of its property and contractual rights without due process of law, a constitutional violation. LL Liquor seeks a preliminary injunction to stop implementation of the law. The issue is awaiting ruling.

STATE DISTRICT COURT

Alpine Aviation: Alpine Aviation has been centrally assessed by the Department since it began operating in Montana in the late 1990s. Alpine filed an appeal with the Office of Dispute Resolution and then with the Montana Tax Appeal Board (MTAB), arguing that it does not meet the definition of a centrally assessed company because it is not a “regularly scheduled airline” as defined in federal law. The Department asked the District Court to determine the meaning of “scheduled airline” and “scheduled air commerce” for Montana property tax purposes. On May 14, 2015, the First Judicial District Court ruled that “regularly scheduled flights” are those flights which follow a pattern but are not necessarily uniform intervals according to timetables and locations predefined by the carrier and which fly regardless of whether there are passengers or freight carried. The matter is subject to appeal to the Montana Supreme Court. If not appealed, the case will return to MTAB. Alpine has until September 28 to file an appeal.

Barnard: Tim and Mary Barnard have filed a declaratory judgment action seeking an order from the Gallatin County District Court that the Department’s purported authority to determine residency for state income tax purposes is unconstitutional. Additionally, they are petitioning the Court to declare that they are not residents of Montana and thus do not owe the resident income taxes the Department has assessed against them. The case has been fully briefed with the District Court and awaits decision.

Hiland Crude, LLC: Hiland filed a declaratory judgment action in the 1st Judicial District Court challenging the Department’s classification of Hiland’s property for tax year 2014 as a pipeline carrier and therefore subject to central assessment. The matter is currently in discovery.

Kohoutek, et al.: Agency liquor store owners seek class certification and challenge the constitutionality of certain statutes. Specifically, agency liquor store owners allege that § 16-2-101(2)(b)(ii)(B), MCA, is unconstitutional because it fails to fully compensate some liquor store owners for the mandatory 8% discount for unbroken case lot sales to licensees required by § 16-2-201, MCA. Plaintiffs filed in the 8th Judicial District Court, Cascade County. The Court has bifurcated the issues (constitutionality and damages). On May 28, 2015, the Court determined that the statute violated the plaintiffs’ rights to substantive due process and to equal protection of the law because the state has continued to use 1994 sales information to reimburse agency liquor stores for the mandatory case lot discounts.

Trial on the issue of damages is set for February 4, 2016. Potential damages have been estimated at as much as \$37 million. The District Court has ordered mediation to take place.

Omimex Canada, Ltd.: At issue is the Department's decision to classify Omimex's Montana property as a pipeline carrier and therefore subject to central assessment. The parties have agreed to consolidate the declaratory judgment actions for tax years 2011 and 2012 filed in the 2nd Judicial District, Silver Bow County, with the declaratory judgment actions for tax years 2013 and 2014 filed in the First Judicial District and to transfer venue to the 1st Judicial District Court, Lewis and Clark County. Judge Reynolds has assumed jurisdiction over all four pending tax years. Trial is scheduled for May 2017. Omimex has also appealed its centrally assessed valuations for tax years 2011-2015, which are currently stayed at the Office of Dispute Resolution (ODR) pending the outcome of the classification dispute.

Richland Aviation: Richland filed a declaratory judgment action in the 7th Judicial District Court, Richland County, challenging the Department's classification of Richland's property for tax year 2015 as subject to central assessment. The matter is currently in discovery. Richland has filed a motion for summary judgment arguing that it is not a "scheduled airline" as that term is used and understood in Montana law. Richland's summary judgment motion is fully briefed, argued, and the parties are awaiting a decision from the District Court.

MONTANA TAX APPEAL BOARD

Blixseth: The Department is pursuing Tim Blixseth's tax debt. On March 20, 2015, the Department received final judgment against Mr. Blixseth before the Montana Tax Appeal Board on Mr. Blixseth's appeal of the Department's audit and assessment. Mr. Blixseth did not appeal any of the orders issued by the Montana Tax Appeal Board. Consequently, the Department has billed Mr. Blixseth in the approximate amount of \$74.4 million, and will begin pursuing Mr. Blixseth for collection. Dismissal of the involuntary bankruptcy petition remains on appeal before the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, where the matter is fully briefed. The Department has advised the United States District Court of the MTAB rulings, and the Department awaits a decision.

Abbey/Land Co.: The Department valued a high-end luxury complex on Shelter Island on Flathead Lake at \$41.8 million. Abbey/Land contested the Department's property valuation of the property owner's Shelter Island luxury mansion and other improvements, asserting that the Department overvalued the property for tax year 2012. Abbey/Land's appraiser valued the improvements at \$9.8 million. The Montana Tax Appeal Board ruled in favor of the Department, ultimately finding that the Department's assessment of Abbey/Land's improvements was based on accepted valuation methodologies and reflects fair market value as of the lien date. Abbey/Land did not appeal the decision.

DOR OFFICE OF DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Charter: In July, Charter appealed the Department's 2015 assessment to the Department's Office of Dispute Resolution. Generally, Charter alleges the 2015 value is too high as it fails to account sufficiently for intangible personal property, the Department's capitalization rates are improper, and the Department's allocation is inappropriate. An initial conference is scheduled for September 30, 2015.

Verizon Wireless: In June, Verizon appealed the Department's 2015 assessment to ODR. Generally Verizon alleges the 2015 value is too high because the deduction for intangible personal property was not properly computed. Verizon also challenges the Department's methodologies and capitalization rates. Verizon has requested mediation which is currently being scheduled.

VisionNet: In July, VisionNet appealed the Department's 2015 assessment to the Office of Dispute Resolution. Generally, VisionNet alleges the Department's classification of VisionNet is improper. VisionNet also contests its market value assessment as improperly including intangible personal property and use of improper capitalization rates. An initial conference is set with ODR for September 30, 2015.

NatureEner: NaturEner has filed with the Office of Dispute Resolution three separate appeals of the Department's 2015 assessments. At issue is the Department's valuation of NaturEner's three windfarms; Glacier Wind Energy 1, LLC, Glacier Wind Energy 2, LLC, and Rim Rock Wind Energy, LLC. The matter awaits a schedule for discovery and trial.

SETTLEMENTS

Cloud Peak: In July 2015, the Department and Cloud Peak reached a settlement over the additionally assessments in for Montana Coal Production Taxes for tax years 2005-2007 which were the subject of the Montana Supreme Court's January 13, 2015, ruling in *Cloud Peak Energy Resources, LLC v. Department of Revenue*. Of the assessed \$3,258,645, Cloud Peak submitted a lump sum payment of \$2,018,181.